

## Vietnamese "Puzzlement"

Continued from page 1

Just as in Cuba where our Executive Department played a part in the installation of Castro, which resulted in the establishment of a Soviet stronghold within bow-and-arrow distance of the U.S.A., so in Vietnam we followed a course which resulted in the assassination of a strong enemy of Marxism, a Christian-Vietnamese. This ushered in other weaker governments in quick succession until the chaotic results invited the formation of a Red stronghold in the area, and encouraged the remaining portions of the former French colony to turn leftward.

Just for the sake of argument, let us say that there really was some sort of policy involved. Whom can we blame for it? For it certainly is not pro-American to allow an enemy who has sworn to destroy us to increase his ever-growing empire. Ask this question and then try to reason it out: Who is there in a position of power who desires, not the continuation of the United States as an independent nation, but wants it turned into a province of the United Nations? What is the real political philosophy of such men as Senator Fulbright, the Rhodes Scholar and Walt Whitman Rostow, the alleged protagonist of State Department Public Document Number 7277? How can one judge?

Who is quoted in the press as recommending that we stop hitting the enemy wherever he is and hitting him until it hurts? A syndicated article by Joseph Alsop tells us that an extreme left-wing French political writer who has been among the Viet Cong lately has stated that the Red guerillas are definitely hurt and very much disturbed. The writer, Georges Chafford, reluctantly admits a change of climate. "American determination," he says, "has caused everyone to reflect a bit." We suggest that Senator Fulbright is not as bright as he should be, or else he is afraid that we shall win. And if we lose, who will win? These questions cry out for an answer to the sovereign American People. They pay the cost of it all.

Now let's have a look at the policy of unilateral disarmament as per P. D. 7277 of the State Department. We place ourselves in the place of power and policy now occupied by Rostow and Rusk. Are we to think that the USSR whose boast has been that treaties are made to be broken, "like pie crust," is doing anything to match our matchless McNamara in the way of disarmament? From whatever point we ponder this, the answer comes out a sharp, loud "NO!" Therefore, since these two gentlemen are not noted for their lack of brain power, then there must be some explanation. Do they really believe that world government via the Red dominated United Nations is the best policy for the United States?

"Lives there a man,  
with soul so dead,  
Who never to himself has said:  
This is my own,  
my native land?"

Not much has been heard from Mr. Rostow recently, but Mr. Rusk, at least in his public pronouncements, has taken a strong anti-Communist line, in so far as Viet Nam is concerned, to the great annoyance of many of his left-wing supporters. Is this seeming change of heart due to the new "get tough" policy in Viet Nam initiated by President Johnson? Which policy, incidentally, now so widely acclaimed, is the very one that Mr. Goldwater was tarred with last Fall, as a possibility of what MIGHT happen were he to be elected.

Our attention is now concentrated in Viet Nam, as are larger and larger portions of our remaining armed might. We even begin to think that war with Red China is a likely possibility. But, while Red China may well be behind the North Vietnam-Viet Cong deviltry, we must not forget the other part of this puzzlement: Cuba. There, 90 miles from Key West is a focus of Marxist infection that spreads throughout all the American nations, our own included. By agreement with Presi-



Walter Whitman Rostow

Walt Rostow, former policy planner, and "the law" in the UN-American State Department, authored the Test Ban Treaty, "the never offend the Communists" policy, and the treasonous Public Document No. 7277. The following is the policy statement of Rostow, who had much to say about S. Vietnam, taken from "THE UNITED STATES IN THE WORLD ARENA":

"It is the legitimate American national objective to see removed from all nations, including the United States, the right to use substantial military force to pursue their own interests. Since this residual right is the right of national sovereignty and the basis for the existence of an international arena of power, it is, therefore, an American interest to see an end of nationhood as it has been historically defined."

dent Kennedy, Castro is allowed to select 50% of the refugees who leave his tidy Red Isle for other lands. A former investigator for the House Un-American Affairs Committee reported that a minimum of 100,000 Castro Communists are in this country today. Castro's sister reported in a news conference in Puerto Rico that that island possession of the USA is literally riddled with Castro Communists. Manifestation of the activities of this precious export have been felt in every country in the Americas.

Now all this comes from a policy which resulted in the U.S.A. accepting some sort of modus vivendi with this monstrous canker. We faithfully stop trying out our nuclear weapons, while the USSR proceeds calmly with hers. When the Soviets get hungry we feed them American wheat. The money men want more trade with them, the same chaps who, up to the last hour, sold scrap iron to Japan which was repatriated in the bodies of our dead young men. Do the money-men control our policy? Is it they who are being nice to the USSR, even when they wreck our embassies, openly assist our enemies everywhere, and now have told Red China to go ahead and arm the North Vietnamese, that the Russians will replace this armament with some of their own?

We believe that these are the great puzzlements of all time:

(1) Why have we not attempted to put an end to Red China by helping the Nationalist Chinese, the natural enemies of Mao? The armed forces of Nationalist China, which we have armed and helped train number over one million.

(2) Why have we ignored the offer of 600,000 to 1,000,000 trained Nationalist Chinese who are eager to return to the mainland to checkmate Communist China, which is presently the main base of the Communist fighting in Vietnam?

(3) Why have we ignored the insults of Moscow and their military assistance to the Communist fighting in Vietnam with military hardware such as MIGS?

(4) Why we are committing a psychological error of the first magnitude in using white troops in large numbers? Anybody with experience knows that the white man is resented by the yellow and brown, which is another reason why we should be using the Nationalist Chinese and any other yellow and brown troops instead of white men.

(5) Instead of coming to the aid of the USSR, why do we not break diplomatic re-


lations? Every envoy they send is a spy, and it is treason to continue to encourage their "exchange" of scientists, artists, etc. Their literature is allowed to enter un-inspected and goes to work brain-washing our students, our churchmen, etc. at no cost to the USSR. Were we not to help them with wheat, sustaining their prestige with favors and recognition and sustenance, their rotten regime would crumble. People just do not enjoy slavery.

These steps would seem to be the only ones which could possibly result in the settlement of the twin puzzlements of Vietnam and Cuba. In the end, we shall find out the truth, that a brave man dies but one death, but a coward dies many.

**"PROMISES ARE LIKE PIECRUST  
MADE TO BE BROKEN" —LENIN**

"I firmly believe in the independence and integrity of the legislative branch. I promise you, that I shall always respect this."

"I pledge that the expenditures of the government will be administered with the utmost thrift and frugality...The government will set an example of prudence and economy."



## How Much Is One Billion?

It Is Reported That —

1. One Billion ordinary kitchen matches placed side by side will reach across the United States from the Atlantic to Pacific Ocean —

2. Had you been living and in possession of One Billion Dollars when Christ was born and had disposed of ONE THOUSAND dollars every day since the year 1 B.C. you would have enough money left to continue for approximately Seven (700) Hundred years before the One Billion Dollars would be used up —

3. If an airplane could fly at 400 miles per hour for one year its propeller would not have turned over One Billion times —

4. There has not been One Billion Minutes since the birth of Christ —

5. Figured at \$35.00 per ounce it would take 892.8 tons of gold to equal One Billion Dollars —

6. It would take 267,855 tons, or 535,710,000 pounds of gold to pay the Federal Debt of \$300,000,000,000 — and there is not that much gold in the world —

7. The interest on our Federal debt is now approximately \$9,000,000,000.00 (Nine Billion) per year or approximately \$24,480,000.00 every day, or approximately \$17,000.00 every minute —

We are leaving this back breaking debt to our children and grandchildren — For their sake, if you know of any wasteful or extravagant spending of your tax money write to your Senator and Congressman.

**SUPPORT YOUR RIGHT  
★ TO 'KEEP AND  
★ BEAR ARMS' ★**

★ ★